

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

T/IV/M-6
19 February 1965

UNITED STATES INTELLIGENCE BOARD

COMMITTEE ON DOCUMENTATION

TASK TEAM IV - INSTALLATIONS

Minutes of the Sixth Meeting, 1 February 1965

Members or Their Representatives Present

25X1 DIA - [] Chairman
25X1 ARMY - Lt. Col. Clyde M. Mangold
NSA - []
CIA - []
CSS - [], Secretary

Others Present

None

25X1 1. The Chairman opened the meeting by briefing the team on the
25X1 status of the DIA paper on methods of identifying missile installations.
The paper has been checked out by the DIA people at the working level, and
is now in the hands of a DIA Staff Action Officer. The Secretary reported
that [] would be unable to attend today because of the urgent
press of business. [] indicated, as an interim report, that his
"both-sides" paper was being coordinated within NPIC, and that both he and
the paper should be ready for the next meeting. The minutes of the last
meeting were approved, with minor changes.

2. The Chairman then introduced his second "NOTES" paper which led to
brisk discussion of (1) the general form, and structure of the team report,
and the general approach to and methods for drafting same; (2) and the
coding problem in regard to installation identification information. The
team agreed to do an "interim draft" of the report which could then be
distributed to all interested parties for comment; especially as to the
probable impact of adopting a standard format in terms of difficulty, delay,
cost and possible modifications for local users. Lt. Col. Mangold raised
the point that AMS would have had difficulty in utilizing the DoD 2-character
country code for both maps and map-related documents, and that it would be

Group 1

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification.

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

- 2 -

25X1 costly to convert. He pointed out that, in view of this, AMS had received an exception to the DoD country code. He added that he endorsed the principle that on a long-range basis we should work toward a standard country code procedure for use in the Community. The Chairman asked Lt. Col. Mangold to produce a brief paper on this matter for the next meeting, and indicated that this could become an annex to the team report. The Chairman asked [] to produce for the next meeting a brief paper examining the "and/or" aspects of UTM and grid coordinates which could also become an annex to the team report. [] was asked to do a short 25X1 paper considering the naming and transliteration aspects of installation identification. The Chairman volunteered to contribute a paper at the next meeting discussing a category code for examination and possible adoption by the team.

3. The Chairman also indicated that the team terms of reference could serve very well as a broad outline for the team report, and that the technique of assigning brief papers relating to these terms would be followed until the essential ingredients for the team report were pulled together. Lt. Col. Mangold distributed an updating version of the "Common Identifying Elements for Installations as Required by the Army." The Chairman distributed a current "Plan for Management of World-Wide Production of Electronic Order of Battle," 7 December 1964, which is being forwarded to all specified and unified commands for coordination. He volunteered to keep the team informed as to its progress.

25X1 4. The team agreed to meet at 1000 hours on 18 February 1965, in the Langley building. [] CODIB Support Staff will notify each member of the room. A copy of the Chairman's "NOTE" is attached.

[]

Secretary

Attachment: A/S

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

Attachment

NOTES FOR THE SIXTH MEETING OF TASK TEAM IV - INSTALLATIONS

1. As usual, our first order of business is to consider the minutes of our meeting of 18 January 1965, ably prepared by our Secretary,

[redacted]

2. At our last meeting, [redacted] gave us a preliminary report regarding a "both sides" paper on the two different systems currently in use for the designation of missile sites, for consideration by our Task Team. If [redacted] paper has received coordination now within NPIC, I believe that it would be appropriate to discuss it at this time. This matter has been under active consideration within DIA, and I am happy to be able to report to you that a DIA position has now been reached, calling for modification of the designation of the present DIA system for R&D sites to the place name/alphabetic designator (as employed by NPIC), the retention of the place name/numerical designation for operational sites, and the decision by DIA to solicit the concurrence of both GMAIC and NPIC to adopt and implement such a compromise system as a single, uniform system throughout the Intelligence Community. This information on activity on the part of DIA is submitted to the Task Team for their information, as a facet bearing on the problem, as we consider [redacted] "both sides" paper. I am happy to report that I have found convincing evidence on the part of all concerned of a strong desire for the adoption and establishment of a single uniform system to be followed by the entire Intelligence Community.

3. At our last meeting, there was considerable discussion of a category code or codes, with support expressed for the endorsement of the functional classification code contained in DIAM 65-3-1 as applicable to the categorization of target-type installations. Mention was also made of the various categorization systems involved in classifying electronic emitters. I would like to call your attention to a current "Plan for Management of World-Wide Production of Electronic Order of Battle", 7 December 1964, which has been forwarded by the Joint Chiefs of Staff to all Unified and Specified Commands for coordination. I will keep the Task Team informed of action in this field. I enclose a copy of the codification under consideration for your perusal.

4. About commencing the writing of our Task Team's report: Do we have any volunteers for turning out drafts of various portions of the report? Perhaps our Secretary will favor us by jotting down immediately the names of the individuals competing for taking on responsibility for various portions of a draft report.

[redacted]

Chairman

Group 1

Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification.

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L